

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/859,960 05/21/97 TOLT		Z 12179	P-P064US
		, 7	EXAMINER
		N61/0309	
JAMES J MURPHY 5400 RENAISSANC	E TOWER	KUNEMUND , R	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1201 ELM STREET DALLAS TX 75270-2199		1765	E MAILED:
		03/0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	08/859,960 701+
	Examiner Group Art Unit 1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response	2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau	36(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	-0/
Responsive to communication(s) filed on September 1	2 29, 1998
This action is FINAL .	
1	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
(Claim(s) /t. 26	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(a)	is/ore allowed
© Claim(s) / 16 26	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	·
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Inter 	e priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	• •
•	Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Serial Number: 08/859,960

Art Unit: 1763

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 3, 10 to 12, 14 to 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al..

The Song et al. reference teaches a field emitter and a method of manufacturing one. On a substrate, of metal or ceramic, a patterned metal layer is formed with exposed substrate openings. A continuous layer of carbon is then deposited on to the patterned layer and substrate. The remainder of the device is then made. The carbon deposited on to the substrate is used as the active area, note figures. The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the carbon being more of an emitter on the substrate. However, in the absence of unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine

Serial Number: 08/859,960

Art Unit: 1763

experimentation the optimum, operable area that the carbon film is more active, it is noted, that the reference uses the carbon on the substrate as the active area indicating to one of ordinary skill in the art the area of higher activity.

Claims 4 to 9, 13, and 20 to 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al. in view of Yoshioka et al..

The Song et al. reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the means of changing substrate moropholgy. However, the Yoshioka et al reference teaches creating a field emitter using carbon layers by etching with acids and patterning, note, col. 13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Song et al reference by the teachings of the Yoshioka et al reference to pattern etch in order to create the desired emitter areas and devices.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 29, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument concerning claims 1 to 3 and 12 is noted. However, the claim is not as limited as argued. The change in the substrate can be done by depositing and patterning another layer prior to carbon layer formation (*see claim 12). This is an embodiment set forth by the inventors. The prior art clearly sets forth a substrate with a patterned layer then a carbon layer. Since, the structure is the same the result would inherently be the same. There is no

Art Unit: 1763

evidence that the results would be different as the structure and process of the Song et al reference clearly meets the limitations and embodiments encompassed in the above claims.

Applicants' argument concerning claims 14 to 18 has been considered and not deemed persuasive. The above claims are limited solely to a product claim thus process limitations are given little weight in determining patentability. The Song et al reference teaches the emitter which structure is the same as claimed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Kunemund whose telephone number is (703) 308-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Breneman, can be reached on (703) 308-3324. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

RMK

March 8, 1999

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER A.U. 41764